**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN**
**&**
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC**

**FRIDAY, THE 27TH JULY 2007 / 5TH SRAVANA 1929**

**OP.No. 31789 of 2001(S)**
**----------**

**PETITIONERS:**
**----------**

**1. THE ADMINISTRATOR,**
**U.T. OF LAKSHADWEEP,**
**KAVARATTI.**

**2. THE DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,**
**U.T. OF LAKSHADWEEP,**
**KAVARATTI.**

**3. UNION OF INDIA,**
**REP. BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVT.,**
**MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT,**
**NEW DELHI.**

**BY ADV. SRI.PR.RAMACHANDRA MENON,SC,LAKSHADWEEP**

**RESPONDENT:**
**----------**

**L.ANANDAVALLY AMMA,**
**W/O.RANGANATHAN,**
**JUNIOR BASIC SCHOOL,**
**ANDROTT**
**(PERMANENT RESIDENCE AT SREEVILLA,**
**PUTHUPPALLY, KAYAMKULAM).**

**BY ADV. SRI.M.R.RAJENDRAN NAIR**
**SRI.R.SREERAJ**
**SRI.HARIRAJ**
**SRI.M.R.SUDHEENDRAN**
**SRI.NIDHI BALACHANDRAN**

**THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**OP NO.31789/2001**

## APPENDIX

**PETITIONERS EXHIBITS**

**EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER F.NO.26/3/78-EDN.DTD.7.2.80 OF THE EXUCATION OFFICER, U.T. OF LAKSHADWEEP.**

**EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.5/232/82-SCHR DTD.6.10.82 ISSUED BY THE GOVT. OF INDIA.**

**EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER F.NO.26/1/83-EDN. DTD.4.4.83 ISSUED BY THE 2ND PETITIONER.**

**EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.F 5-180/86-UT.I DTD.12.8.87 ISSUED BY THE GOVT. OF INDIA**

**EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.F 5-180/86 UT.I DTD.5.9.88 ISSUED BY THE GOVT. OF INDIA.**

**EXT.P6: TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER/CLARIFICATION NO.F 5-180/86-UT.I DTD.5.9.88 ISSUED BY THE GOVT. OF INDIA.**

**EXT.P7: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DTD.21.3.2000 OF THE CAT, ERNAKULAM IN OA 911/97.**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.**
**==========**
**O.P. NO. 31789 OF 2001**
**==========**
**Dated this the 27th day of July, 2007**

**J U D G M E N T**

**Radhakrishnan, J.**

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, we find no reason to disturb the finding of the Tribunal, since the respondent has already retired from service. We make it clear that the findings recorded by the Tribunal would be confined to the facts of this case alone.

Original petition is disposed of as above.

**K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.**

**ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.**

Rp